



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/809,580	03/25/2004	Bryan Christopher Chagoly	AUS920040049US1	7123
35525	7590	04/23/2008	EXAMINER	
IBM CORP (YA)			VERDI, KIMBLEANN C	
C/O YEE & ASSOCIATES PC				
P.O. BOX 802333			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DALLAS, TX 75380			2194	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/23/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ptonotifs@yeeiplaw.com

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/809,580	CHAGOLY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	KimbleAnn Verdi	2194	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) KimbleAnn Verdi. (3) Vicky Ash.
 (2) Gerald Glanzman. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 April 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 2 and 31.

Identification of prior art discussed: n/a.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Proposed amendment to claims 2 and new claim 31. Examiner indicated that the proposed claims 2-4, 6-10 will be entered if they comply with the previously objected claim, however, new claim 31 need further consideration.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Meng-Ai An/
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2195
 Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
 Attachment to a signed Office action.

/KimbleAnn Verdi/
 Examiner, Art Unit 2194
 Examiner's signature, if required